UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Puerto Rico		
United States of America)	
V.) Case No. 24-cr-469 (ADC)	
ERNIE YADIEL BERMUDEZ-MELENDEZ) Case No. 24-C1-409 (ADC)	
Defendant)	
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Part I - Eligib	ility for Detention	
Upon the		
	nt to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or	
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own	motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),	
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i).	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.	
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)	
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S. presumption that no condition or combination of cond and the community because the following conditions	ditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person	
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the fo	ollowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):	
• *	U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or entence is life imprisonment or death; or	
(c) an offense for which a maximum terr Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§	n of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the \$801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or	
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two	onvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal n of such offenses; or	
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crir		
	a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>	
— · /	ed of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.	
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	t would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise	
	ve for which the defendant has been convicted was e pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>	

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472	(Rev. 11/16)	Order of Detention	Pending Trial

☑ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
 \(\) (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; \(\) (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☑C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment

AO 472 (Rev. 11/1	6) Order of Detention Pending T	rial
Signi Lack Subje Prior Prior Back Prior	ificant family or other ties of legal status in the Unsect to removal or deportate failure to appear in counse attempt(s) to evade law of alias(es) or false documents are considered information unknown to the constant of t	es outside the United States nited States nation after serving any period of incarceration rt as ordered enforcement ments nown or unverified parole, or supervised release XPLANATION:
The defendant interview.	t is serving at the state le	vel and if pending trial also at state level. Defendant did not provide a bail
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention		
for confinement being held in convith defense conperson in charge	nt in a corrections facility custody pending appeal. counsel. On order of a	ody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative y separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the cility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an proceeding.
Date:	01/08/2025	s/ Héctor L. Ramos-Vega United States Magistrate Judge